A comparison of measurements from ATMOS and instruments aboard the ER-2 aircraft: Halogenated gases

A, Y. Chang, ¹R. J. Salawitch, ¹11. A. Michelsen, ²M. R. Gunson, ¹M. C. Abrams, ³R. Zander, ⁴C. 1'. Rinsland, ⁵J. W. Elkins, ⁶G. S. Dutton, ⁶, ⁷C. M. Volk, ⁶, ⁷C. R. Webster, ¹R. 1). May, ¹D. W. Fahey, ⁸R.-S. Gao, ⁸M. Loewenstein, ⁹J. R. Podolske, ⁹R. h4. Stimpfle, ²D. W. Kohn, ²M. 11. Proffitt, ⁶, ⁷J. J. Margitan, ¹K. R. Chan, ⁹M. M. Abbas, ¹⁰A. Goldman, ¹¹F. W. Irion, ¹²G. L. Manney, ¹M. J. Newchurch, ¹³and G. 1'. Stiller ¹⁴

Abstract. We compare volume mixing ratio profiles of N₂0, CFC-11, CFC-12, CCl₄, SF₆, and HClin the mid-latitude stratosphere measured by the ATMOS Fourier transform spectrometer with in situ mea surements acquired aboard the NASA Iii<-2 aircraft during Nov 1994. Goodagreement is found between ATMOS and in situ correlations of [CFC-1 1], [('1('-12], and [SF₆] with [N₂O]. ATMOS observations of $[CCI_4]$ are -1s% higher than the ER-2 data, but within the systematic uncertainties. AT MOS measurements of [I][CI] vs [N₂O]agree to within -107, of the ER-2 data for[HCl]> I ppbv, but exceed in sits observations for smaller [11('1]. ATMOS measurements of [CIONO2] show remarkable agreement with values inferred from io situ observations of [CIO], [NO], and [03]. The sum of [HCI] and [CIONO₂] observed by ATM OS, supplemented by a minor contribution from [CIO] estimated with a photochemical model, is consistent with the levels of inorganic chlorine in ferred from in situ measurements of chlorine source gases.

Introduction

The ATMOS (Atmospheric Trace MOlecule Spectroscopy) Four ier transform spectrometer uses solar occultation measurements to derive volume mixing ratio (VMR) profiles of more than 30 constituents in Earth's atmosphere. During the Al MOS /ATLAS-3 (Atmospheric Labol-story for Applications and Science) Space Shuttle mission of Nov 1994, twelve ATMOS occultations, occurring between 4 and 9 Nov 1994, were near-coincident with the mid-latitude (30-51° N) segments of the 2 and 4 Nov flights of the NASA ER-2 aircraft during AS HOE/MAESA (Airborne Southern Hemisphere Ozone Experiment / Measurements for Assessing the F ffects of Stratospheric Aircraft). Chang et al. [1996] discuss more folly the geographic coincidence of the observations, compare vertical profiles of [N2O], and demonstr atc good agreement for correlations of long-lived tracers $[O_3]$, $[NO_v]$, $[1 \ l_2O]$, and $[Cl \ l_4]$ with $[N_2O]$ observed from the two platforms (throughout, [] denotes VMR). This paper presents correlations of [CFC-1 1], [CFC-12], [CCl₄], [SF₆], and [11('1] with [N₂O] measured from ATMOS and the ER-2, and compares measurements of inorganic chlorine species with results of photochemical model simulations.

All comparisons in this stody are presented as correlations with the long-lived tracer N₂O₁₀ account for the dynamical histories orf different air masses. For the ER-2 flight segments considered bet-c, [N,0] measured by ATLAS (Airborne Tunable

Laser Absorption Spectrometer) [Loewenstein et al., 1989] are largely within 100 of those observed by ACATS-IV (Airborne Chromatograph for Atmospheric '1 race Species) [Elkins et al., 1995]. Because of the higher sampling rate, the A1 1.AS data for [N₂O] are used for all the ER-2 correlations presented here. Version 2 Al MOS data are used throughout.

Halogen source Gases

ATMOS measures VMRs of CFC-11 (CCl₃F), CFC-12 (CCl₂F₂), HCFC-22 (01('112), Cl₃Cl, and CCl₄, which together constitute ~80% of total tropospheric organic chlorine [Zander et al., 1996]. The ACATS-I V gas chromatograph oo the ER-2 measures CFC-1 1, (Y'C-12, CFC-113 (CCl₂F-CClF₂), Cl₃ CCl₃, CCl₄, and Halon-121 I (CBrClF₂), which likewise comprise ~80% of tropospheric chloring Halogenated gases are measured by ACATS IV with precisions and accuracies of belter than 10/0 and 2/0 respectively, at intervals of 3 min, except SF₆, which is meas ured every 6 min. ACATS-IV is calibrated on the ground against the same standards used in the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration / Climate Monitoring and Diagnostic laboratory network of tropospheric measuring stations [Elkins et al., 1993], and is calibrated in flight by running a sample of air with mixing ratios near stratospheric levels every 4 ambient samples, or I S to 30 min.

Optical bandpass filters, used to improve the signal-tonoise ratio (SNR) of measured spectra, determine the set of species measured to each ATMOS occultation [Gunsonet al., 1996]. All species examined in this paper, except for I ('I and N₂O, are detected using transitions below 1000 cm⁻¹, where spectra from Filter 9 (625-2450 cm⁻¹) exhibit degraded SNR compared with those from Filter 12 (625-1400 cm¹). This problem was particularly noticeable during the ATLAS-3 mission (dot to the instrumental gain settings) and adversely impacts VMRs retrieved in Filter 9 using a single spectral window below I 000 cm⁻¹ such as CFC-11, CFC-12, CCl₄, C 10N O₂, and SF₆. For these species, only litter 12 measurements are considered in the present paper. Gases measured in Filter 9 based on fitting either features at higher wavenumbers or an ensemble of spectral windows (e. g., 0₃, N₂0, CH₄, 11₂0, NO, NO₂) are unaffected by these difficulties.

Figure 1 a illustrates the agreement of ATMOS and ER-2 measurements of [CFC-I1] with [N₂O]. The horizontal axis co~rc.spends to altitudes from the upper troposphere to --30 km. ATMOS measures [CFC-11] with estimated 10 precisions (random errors) of better than 5% anti an accuracy (systematic

uncertainty) Of 1 1%, and detects [N₂O] with 10 precisions and accuracies of 5% each. ATMOS makes a priori estimates of precision during each VMR retrieval from considerations such as residual errors in the fitting of spectra; systematic uncertainties are determined by combining uncertainties in the spectroscopic band intensities [Brown et al., 1996] with those related to the tangent pressure assignment. More details 011 ATMOS accuracies and precisions are presented in Abrams et al. [1996]. The mean difference in [CFC-1 1] measured by Λ TMOS and the FR-2 is -14!4. (Il lpptv of [(:1:~-11] at [N₂0] = 300 ppbv), well within the uncertainties of the measurements, with no apparent dependence on [N₂O] (throughout, biases are computed as [ATMOS ER2] / ATMOS). Correlations of [CFC-12] with [N₂O] arc shown io Fig. lb. ATMOS measures [CFC-12] with a 10 accuracy of 9% and estimated precisions of better than 50/., In mean, good agreement is obtained in [CFC- 12] between the ATMOS and ER-2, with differences ranging from + 5%(413 pptv of [CFC-12]) at $[N_2O] = 170$ ppbv to-6% (-26 pptv of [CFC-12]) at [N₂O]=280 ppbv

Figure 1c compares observations of [CCl₄] vs [N₂O] A 1 MOS measures [CC14] with a 10 accuracy of 20% and estimated precisions of better than 10°/0. The ATMOS values of $[CCl_4]$ arc 15% higher (20 pptv of $[CCl_4]$ at $[N_2O]$ = 300 ppbv) compared to the insitu data. ATMOS retrieves [CCl₄] using the v₃, v₁+v₄ bandhead at 796 cm⁻¹, with temperaturedependent absorption cross-sections and band intensities from Orlando et al. [1992] The deviation of the ATMOS measurements is consistent with the estimated uncertainty in the bandstrength, whose reported value varies considerably in the literature [Brown et al., 1996]. Figure 1d shows comparisons of [SF₆] with [N₂O]. ATMOS measures [SF₆], a good indicator of the age of stratospheric airowing to its long photochemical lifetime and knows tropospheric growth rate, with a accuracy of I 1 % and estimated 10 precisions of -1 0%. The ATMOS data are on average 5% higher (0.16 pptv of [SF₆] at $[N_2O] = 300 \text{ ppbv}$ than the in silo observations, demonstrating excellent agreement over a wide range of [N₂O].

Inorganic Chlorine

'1 he coincidence between ATMOS and ER-2 measurements in Nov 1994 provides a test of our understanding of the partitioning of inorganic chlorine (Cl_v) at mid-latitudes. ATMOS measures [11('1] and [ClONO₂], which are the dominant forms of Cl, in the lower stratosphere for air unaffected by polar stratospheric clouds. Instruments aboard the Iii<-2 observe[HCl] and [ClO], the latter being the reactive form of Cl_v that photochemically removes ozone. Although ATMOS observes [11(1] and [CIO NO₂] using different optical filters and thus never in the same occul tation, constituents such as O₃ and N₂O measured in multiple filters provide a framework forcombining observations from nearby occultations.

Measured correlations of [HCI] vs [N₂O] are shown in Fig. 2a. ATMOS observes [HCI] in Filter 3 with a 1 σ accuracy of 5% and estimated precisions of better than So/O at altitudes above s() mbar, degrading to 1.5% at 150 mbar. The Al IAS (Aircraft Laser infrared Absorption Spectrometer) diode laser instrument provides in situ observations of [HCI] using transitions in the same spectral band used by ATMOS [Websteret al., 1996]. For the Nov 1994 flights, Al JAS reports [11('1] at 6 minute intervals with a 1 σ precision of 0.07 ppbv (e.g., S% at 1.5 ppbv, 20% at 0.3S ppbv) and anaccuracy of

5%For[HCl] >] ppbv, the Al IAS observations are 12 % lower (0.2 ppby of [HCI]) than the ER-2 data, but the measurements agree within their combined uncertainties. For [IICl] < 1 ppby, the ALJAS mixing ratios are lower by 40% (0.4 ppby of [IICI]), although the upperrange of variability of the ER-2 data overlaps the ATMOS data, AI, IAS observations of [11('1] at a given [N₂O] during Nov 1994 were ~60% higher than seen by ALIAS in May 1993, when NIImid-latitude [IICI]/[Cl_v] ratios were observed to be -0.4, compared to model calculations of -0.7 [Webster et al., 1994]. This evolution of [HCI]/[Cl_y] sampled by the ER-2 appears correlated with decreasing sulfate aerosol loading [Webster et al., in preparation].

The correlations of [ClONO₂] vs [N₂O] measured by ATMOS and inferred from ER-2 measurements are shown in Fig. 2b. ATMOS detects [C10NO?] with a accuracy of 20% and estimated 10 precisions of 10-200/0. The peak mixing ratio of ClONO₂ equals 0.9 ppbv and occurs at -26 km altitude ([N₂O]

I 20 ppbv), leading to a maximum of --0. S for the [Clono₂]/[HCl] ratio. Although in situ measurements of [('10 NO2] have never been obtained, [(10N0]] can be inferred from noon-time measurements of [CIO], [NO], and [O₃], using the steady-state expressions [Kawa et al., 1992],

$$[NO_2]^* = [NO] \xrightarrow{k_{NO+O_3}} [(+] + k_{NO+CIO}[CIO]$$

$$J_{NO_2}$$
(2)

where * denotes an inferred quantity and [] in these equations refers to concentration (measurements of [NO₂] on the ER-2 are unavailable for the flights under consideration). Photolysis rates for ClONO2 and NO, are computed using a radiative transfer model constrained by planetary reflectivity from the Total Ozone Mapping Spectrometer ('J. OMS) and vertical profiles of O₃ derived by combining in situ observations with total column measurements from '1 OMS [Salawitchet al., 1994]. A-I MOS measurements are obtained at sunset, where models indicate [('10N0,] should fall midway between values at noon and night. Figure 2b shows [ClONO₂]*(sunset)inferred for the ER-2, calculated from in situ measurements of [ClO], [NO], anti [0] obtained within ± 2 hi of local noon using Eqns. 1, 2 and [ClONO₂]* (sunset) $[ClONO_2]^*$ (noon) + 0.5 [ClO] (noon). The louncertainty in [ClONO₂]* estimated from the propagation of errors in the kinetic parameters and observed (quantities is ±90% [Kawa et al, 1992]. For [N₂O] < 250 ppbv, the A-i h40S measurements of [CIONO₂] and values inferred from the in situ data are 0.0 average within ± 10°/0 (± 0.04 ppbv of [ClONO₂]). Systematic discrepancies of -\45°/. (10.06 ppbv of [CIONO2]) are exhibited at higher values of [N2O], where the factional uncertainties in [ClonO₂] measured by ATMOS become large due to its decreasing abundance.

Figure 2c compares [Cl_v] vs [N₂O] from A1 h40S anti ER-2 data. The ATMOS values of [Cl_v] were constructed by summing the measured [HCI] and [('10 NO₂], averaged on a 10 ppbv wide grid of [N2O], with [ClO] calculated for sunset using the assumptions for 'Model C' described below. The liiL-2 values of [Cl_v] were determined by averaging and summing, on the same $[N_2O]$ grid, measurements of [HCl], $[C10NO_2]$ * (noon), and [ClO] collected within 12 hr of local noon. The contribution of [ClO] to $[(l_x]]$ is less than 50/o for all cases considered here, Observations [Zander et al., 1996] and photochemical simulations indicate that other gases, such as 110('1, contribute negligibly to [Cl_y]at the scalified es.

Figure 2c includes another estimate of inorganic chlorine, denoted here as $[Cl_y]^{\dagger}$, determined by subtracting total organic chlorine measured by ACATS and the Whole Air Samplerduring the 1992 ER-2 campaign from the total organic chlorine loading in the troposphere [Woodbridge et al., 1995]. The [Cl_v][†] relation shown here bas been increased by 6.7% relative to the published relation of Woodbridge c1 al, to account for changes in stratospheric chlorine between 1992 and Nov 1994 [Zander et al., 1996]. ATMOS[Cl_v] are on average 0.25 ppbv lower than levels predicted from in situ measurements of organic source gases for [N₂0] >180 ppbv, with better agreement at lower [N2O] Inferred[Clv] from the ER-2 are on average 0.58 ppb v lower than $[Cl_v^{\dagger}]$ The smaller abundance of [Cly] from the in situ measurements of inorganic chlorine compared to [Cl_v] from ATMOS is due to lower values of [11('1] that are notbalanced by higher[ClONO₂]* Trajectory calculations indicate that air parcels along these ER-2 flights have recently undergone large excursions in temperature (-1S K) and latitude (~15") [P. Newman, private communication, 1996]. 1 lowever, our model simulations show the partitioning of [HCl] and [ClONO₂] is insensitive to changes in temperature and latitude experienced along these trajectories, provided precursor (i, c., Cl_y, NO_y, H₂O, O₃) levels remain unchanged. It is unlikely that the air sampled by ATMOS and the ER-2 could have large differences in [Cl_v] for the same [N2O], given the similarity of source gases displayed in Fig. 1. The cause of the discrepancy between ATMOS and ER-2 measurements of [HCl] remains unclear.

Photochemical simulations [Salawitch et al., 1994; Michelsen et al., 1996] are used to test our understanding of partitioning of inorganic chlorine gases. The model is constrained 10 match calculated [11(1])[CIONO₂] to the sum measured by ATMOS. Two sets of kinetic parameters are considered; the first uses reaction rate constants and photolytic cross sections from the JPL 1994 compilation [DeMore et al., 1994] and a O% HCl yield from the reaction ClO 1011; the second ('Model C' of Michelsen et al. [1996]) incorporates several changes of which the most significant with respect to partitioning of [ClONO₂] and [HCl] is an assumed 7% yield of 1 ICl from the reaction ClO+011. The sensitivity of mode] results to each kinetic parameter is discussed in Michelsen et al. [1996].

Modelresults for [11('1] and [CIONO₂] at local sunsetare compared with ATMOS observations in Figs. 2a and 2b. For [N₂O]>200 ppbv, both models predict similarlevels of [11('1] and are consistent with the partitioning of [CIO NO₂] and [11('1] observed by ATMOS. At lower levels of [N₂O], the model allowing for production of HCl from CIO+OH results in better agreement with the ATMOS data. Figure 2d shows a comparison of [CIO] calculated subject to constraints imposed by the ATMOS data, but coil-espotlding to midafternoon solar conditions sampled by the ER-2, where in situ measurements of [CIO] are obtained with a 1\text{\textit{o}} accuracy crf 15% [Stimpfle et al., 1994], Although both models overestimate [CIO] for [N₂O] < 240 ppbv, data for [CIO] agree more closely with the model that allows ferr production of HCl from CIO+OH.

The decade-long record of ATMOS observations of organic and inorganic chlorine allows quantification of distributions and trends for gases that are precursors of ozone destroying

radic als and significant contributors to green house warming [Zanderet al., 1996]. ATMOS measurements provide a self-consistent picture of the organic and inorganic chlorine budgets and, together with in situ data, provide valuable constraints on our understanding of chlorine chemistry and the effects of industrially derived chlorine compounds on ozone.

Acknowledgments. Research at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL), ('California Institute of 1 echnology, is performed under contract with the National Acronautics and Space. Administration (NASA). 1 he authors would like to thank the Shuttle astronauts and ER-2 pilots, and acknowledge support for ATMOS and ER-2 studies from NASA's High-Speed Research and Upper Atmosphere Research Programs

References

- Abrams, M. C. et al., 011 the assessment and uncertainty of atmospheric trace gas burden measurements with high resolution in frared solar occultation spectra from space, Geophys. Rev Lett., this issue, 1996.
- Brown, I. R., M. R. Gunson, R. A. Toth, T. Whion, and C. P. Rinsland, The 1995 Atmospheric "Frace Molecule Spectroscopy (ATMOS) linelist, Appl. Opt., in press, I 996.
- Chang, A. Y. et al., A comparison of measurements from Al MOS and instruments about the ER-2 aircraft: Tracers of atmospheric transport, Geophys. Res. Lett., this issue, 1996.
- DeMore, W. B. et al., Chemical kinetics and photochemical data for use in stratospheric modeling, Evaluation number 11, NASA, JPL Publication 94-96, 1994.
- Elkins, J. W. et al., Decrease in the growth rates of atmospheric chlorofluorocarbons 11 and 12, Nature, 364, 78' (1783, 1993.
- Elkins, J. W. et al., Airborne gas chromatograph for in situ measurements of long.lived species in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 23, 347-350,1996
- Fahey, D. W. et al, Insitu measurements of total reactive nitrogen, total water, and aerosolina polar stratospheric cloud in the Antaretic, J. Geophys. Res., 94,1 1299- 11315,1989.
- Kawa, S. R. et al., Photochemical partitioning of the reactive nitrogen and chlorine reservours in the high-latitude stratosphere, J Geophys Res., 97, 7905-7923, 1992.
- Loewenstein, M., J. R.Podolske, K. R.Chan, and S. I. Strahan, Nitrous oxide as adynamicaltracer in the 1987 Airborne Antarctic Ozone Experiment, J. Geophys. Res., 94, 11589-11598, 1989.
- Michelsen, H. A. et al., Stratospheric chlorine partitioning: Constraints from shuttle-borne measurements of HCl, ClNO₃, and ClO, *Geophys. Res Lett.*, this issue, 1996.
- Orlando, J. J., G. S Tyndall, A. Huang, and J G Calvert, Temperature dependence of the infrared absorption cross sections of carbon tetrachloride, Geophys Res. Lett., 19, 1005-1008, 1992
- Proffitt, M. H. et al., In situ ozone measurements within the 1987 Antarcticozoneholefrom a higl]-altitude 11 {-2 alteraft, 1 Geophys Res, 94, 1654"/-1(1555, 1989.
- Salawitch, R Jet al., '1 he distribution of hydrogen, nitrogen, and chlorine radicals in the lower stratosphere; implications for changes in O₃ due to emission of NO_y from supersonic aircraft, *Geophys. Res Lett*, 21,2541-25.\$0, 1994.
- Sti mpfle, R M et al. The response of ClOradicalconcentrations to variations in NO₂ radical concentrations in the lower stra tosphere, *Geophys Res Lett.*, 21, 2543-2546, 1994.
- Webster, C.R. e1 al., Hydrochloric acid and the chlorine budget of the lower stratosphere, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 21, 2575-2578, 1994
- W'oodbridge, E. L. et al., Estimates of total organic and inorganic chlorine in the lower stratosphere from in sits ancf flask measurements during AASEII, J Geophys. Res., 100, 3057-3064, 1995
- Zander, R et al., The 1994 northern midlatitude budget of stratospheric chlorine derived from AIMOS/AILAS 3 observations, Geophys. Res. Lett., this issue, 1996.
- A. Y. Chang, Jet Propulsion Laboratory, 4800 Oak Grove Drive, M. S. 183-301, Pasadena CA 91 109 (cmail: aychang@cacsar jpl.nasa.gov).

(Submittedto GRL, October 12 1995; revised April 17, 1996; accepted ...)

⁴ let Propulsion I aboratory, California Institute of '1 echnology,

Pasadona, CA
2Harvard University, Cambridge, MA.
3SAIC, NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, VA

⁴Institute of Astrophysics, Univ. of Liège, Liège-Cointe, Belgium

*NASA Larley, Research Center, Hampton, VA 6NIVA A Climate Monitoring and Diagnostics Lab., Boulder, CO. *Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences,

University of Colorado, Boulder, CO.

8NOAA Act onomy Laboratory, Boulder, CO.

NASA Ames Research ('enter, MoffettField, CA.

10 NASA Marshall Space FlightCenter, Huntsville, AL.

H Department Of Physics, University of Denver, Denver, CO.

12 California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 13 University of Alabama in Huntsville, Huntsville, AL

14_{IMK} Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe, Karlsruhe, Germany.

Figure Captions

Figure 1. Correlations of [(1(-1 1], [CFC-12], [CCl₄], and [SF₆] vs [N₂O] measured by ATMOS and instruments aboard the ER-2 aircraft: [N2O] (ATLAS), Loewenstein et al ; [CFC-11], [CFC-12], [CCl₄], and [SF₆] (ACATS-IV), Elkins et al. ATMOS error bar-s reflect estimated precision; systematic uncertainties are discussed in the text,

Figure 2. Corlclationsof[11(3], [ClONO₂] or [ClONO₂]*, [Cl_v] , [HCI]+[ClONO₂]+ [(10], and [ClO] vs $[N_2O]$ from ATMOS and ER-2 measurements: [N2O] (A TLA S), Loewensteinetal; [HCI] (ALIAS), Webster et al.; [CIO], Stimpfle et al. ER-2 [('10NO₂]* is inferred for sunsetfrom noontime [('10], [NO] (Fahevet al.), and [0,] (Proffittet al.). ATMOS[Cly] includes calculated [CIO]. ATMOS error bars reflect estimated precision, except for the third panel, where ATMOS and ER-2 errorbars represent the standard deviation of the components of [('IY], averaged overequally spaced intervals of [N₂O]. JPL94 [DeMore et al.] and Model C [Michelsen et al] assume yields of O% and 7%, respectively, for HClfrom ('10 OII.

Figure : Correlations of [CFC-11], [CFC-12], [CCl₄], and [SF₆] vs [N₂O] measured by ATMOS and instruments aboard the ER-2 aircraft: [N₂O] (ATL AS), Locwenstein et al.; [CFC-11], [CFC-12], [C'(LI), and [SF₆](ACATS-IV), Elkins et al. A'] MOS error bars reflect estimated precision; systematic uncertainties are discussed in the text.

Figure 2. Correlations of [HCI], [ClONO₂] or I('10N02]', [Cl] [HC 1] ([ClONO₂]+[ClO], and [ClO] vs [N₂O] from A-I MOS and ER-2 measurements: [N₂O] (All AS), Locwensteinet al.; [11(I] (Al JAS), Webster et al.; [('10], Stimpfleet al. iii{-2 [CIONO₂]* is inferred for sunset from noontime [ClO], [NO] (Fahey et al.), and [03 (Proffitt et al.). ATMOS[Cl_v] includes calculated [ClO]. ATMOS errorbars reflectestimated precision, except for the third panel, where ATMOS and ER-2 error bars represent the standard deviation of the components of [Cl_v], averaged over equally spaced intervals of [N₂O]. JPL94 [DeMore et al.] and Model C [Michelsen et al.] assume yields of O% and 7%, respectively, for HCl from ClO+Ol1.

CHANGET AL: COMPARISON OF ATMOS AND ER-2: HALOGENATID GASES

(1 IANG ET AL.: COMPARISON OF ATMOS ANI) ER-2:HALOGENATED GASES

CHANG ET AL.: COMPARISON OF ATMOS AND ER-2: HALOGENATED GASES



